

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,210	07/30/2003	Joseph E. Mattingly JR.	AMC.P.US0021	2012
26360 75	90 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
RENNER, KENNER, GREIVE, BOBAK, TAYLOR & WEBER FIRST NATIONAL TOWER FOURTH FLOOR			COOLEY, CHARLES E	
106 S. MAIN S		LOOK	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
AKRON, OH 44308			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments		10/630,210	MATTINGLY, JOSEPH E.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Charles E. Cooley	1723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) \	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma	av 2006					
		action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	,		secution as to the	morite is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disnositi	ion of Claims	A parto quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-19,21 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
·							
اکارہ	8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) X Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te	-152)			
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

FINAL OFFICE ACTION

1. This application remains assigned to Technology Center 1700, Art Unit 1723 and the following will apply for this application:

Please direct all written correspondence with the correct application serial number for this application to Art Unit 1723.

Telephone inquiries regarding this application should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 or to the Examiner at (571) 272-1139. All official facsimiles should be transmitted to the centralized fax receiving number 571-273-8300.

Election/Restriction Requirement

2. This application contains claims 22-27 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 3 JAN 2006. A complete reply to this final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Drawings

3. The replacement sheets of drawings filed on 3 JAN 2006 are approved by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,210 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(d)(1) and M.P.E.P. § 608.01(I). Correction of the following is required:

- a. the central portion having a substantially circular outer limit (claims 1 and 9 as amended).
- b. wherein the outer periphery ridge is circumferentially shorter than the length of the lobe where the lobe is attached to the central portion (claims 1 and 9 as amended).
- c. the subject matter of new claim 28.

With the exception of the claimed subject matter which is deemed new matter (explained infra), the above subject matter is deemed to lack positive antecedent basis in the specification as originally filed but is deemed to be reasonably supported by the drawing figures. Since the claims are drawn to multiple embodiments, this subject matter should be added to the specification with respect to all the embodiments embraced by the pending claims. If Applicant can point out where literal support in the written specification exists for this language, the examiner will reconsider the objection.

608.01(o) [R-2] Basis for Claim Terminology in Description

The meaning of every term used in any of the claims should be apparent from the descriptive portion of the specification with clear disclosure as to its import; and in mechanical cases, it should be identified in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing, designating the part or parts therein to which the term applies. A term used in the claims may be given a special meaning in the description. No term may be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of the term.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,210

Art Unit: 1723

Usually the terminology of the original claims follows the nomenclature of the specification, but sometimes in amending the claims or in adding new claims, new terms are introduced that do not appear in the specification. The use of a confusing variety of terms for the same thing should not be permitted.

New claims and amendments to the claims already in the application should be scrutinized not only for new matter but also for new terminology. While an applicant is not limited to the nomenclature used in the application as filed, he or she should make appropriate amendment of the specification whenever this nomenclature is departed from by amendment of the claims so as to have clear support or antecedent basis in the specification for the new terms appearing in the claims. This is necessary in order to insure certainty in construing the claims in the light of the specification, *Ex parte Kotler*, 1901 C.D. 62, 95 O.G. 2684 (Comm'r Pat. 1901). See 37 CFR 1.75, MPEP § 608.01(i) and § 1302.01. >Note that examiners should ensure that the terms and phrases used in claims presented late in prosecution of the application (including claims amended via an examiner's amendment) find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description, see 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1). If the examiner determines that the claims presented late in prosecution do not comply with 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1), applicant will be required to make appropriate amendment to the description to provide clear support or antecedent basis for the terms appearing in the claims provided no new matter is introduced.<

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for the invention as is now claimed.

The limitations added to claims 1 and 9 regarding "the outer periphery ridge traces an arc concentric with respect to the outer limit of the central portion" is not considered supported by the originally filed disclosure. The specification was reviewed

Art Unit: 1723

yet the examiner found no positive support for the outer periphery ridge necessarily tracing an arc that is concentric to the outer limit. The drawing figures are not deemed to clearly depict this feature.

- 7. Claims 1-19 and 28 are thereby rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 8. However, as discussed during the interview of 18 AUG 2006, the examiner suggested language that is deemed reasonably supported by the drawing figures and that defines over the prior art of record. It is suggested the last three lines of claims 1 and 9 read as follows:

--wherein the outer periphery ridge defines a surface that is disposed generally equidistant from the outer limit of the central portion and the ridge is shorter in a circumferential direction than the circumferential length of the lobe where the lobe is attached to the central portion. --

NOTE: If this language is adopted, this language must also be added to the specification to provide antecedent basis as explained in section (4) above with regard to all the claimed embodiments.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,210 Page 6

Art Unit: 1723

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph (assuming the language suggested by the examiner is adopted). With the exception of the offending language noted in section (6) above and the remarks drawn thereto, the claims are provisionally allowable for the reasons advanced by Applicant in the response filed 24 MAY 2006.

10. Claim 20 is allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Amendment

- 11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection necessitated by amendment.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION

Application/Control Number: 10/630,210

Art Unit: 1723

FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION. ANY RESPONSE FILED AFTER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL REJECTION WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF MPEP 714.12 AND 714.13.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E. Cooley whose telephone number is (571) 272-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1723

Charles Co

Charles E. Cooley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

18 August 2006